



# LHCb results and plans

Umberto Marconi, I.N.F.N. Bologna on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration Planck'12, Warsaw, May 2012

#### Strategy for NP search at LHCb

#### • Measure rare processes.

- Measure FCNC transitions, where New Physics is more likely to emerge, and compare results to SM predictions.
- Measure CP symmetry violation to improve measurement precision of CKM elements.
  - Extract CKM-UT angles and sides in many different ways: any inconsistency will be a sign of New Physics.
  - Compare measurements of same quantity, which may or may not be sensitive to NP: e. g. NP-free determinations of CKM-UT angle γ to be compared to γ from loop.

#### b and c hadrons production at LHC

The beauty cross-sections given by PYTHIA (PYTHIA8 and CTEQ6 NLO) are: 251.8 µb at 7 TeV; 291.6 µb at 8 TeV; 527.3 µb at 14 TeV. LHCb measurement in the acceptance, at 7 TeV:  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow bbX) = (75.3 \pm 5.4 \pm 13.0) \mu b$ , PLB 694 (2010) 209,

corresponding to  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow bbX)_{4\pi} = 284 \pm 20 \pm 49 \mu b$ .

 $\sigma_{inel}$ = 60 mb and  $\sigma_{cc}$ = 6 mb.





 $1.8 < \eta < 4.9$  for LHCb

#### The LHCb detector



#### Trigger architecture



### **Running Conditions**



#### Instantaneous luminosity leveling

Leveling is obtained through vertical beam displacements.

#### **Recorded instantaneous luminosity**



#### LHCb Peak Instantaneous Lumi at 3.5 TeV in 2011

LHCb luminosity per fill typically  $3-4 \times 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ 

The average instantaneous <L> is a factor 2. above the design value !

2011 integrated luminosity of 1.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $10^{15} \times 75.3 \times 10^{-6} \sim 10^{11}$  beauty.

Target of 1.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> recorded in 2012



6

#### $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$

#### The rarest B decay ever observed

- It is a b  $\rightarrow$  d  $\mu^+\mu^-$  flavour changing neutral current process.
- $b \rightarrow d \mu^+\mu^-$  is suppressed by a factor  $|V_{td}/V_{ts}|$  relative to  $b \rightarrow s \mu^+\mu^-$ : This suppression does not necessarily apply to NP beyond the SM.
- The predicted SM branching fraction B(B<sup>+</sup>→π<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>)<sub>SM</sub> = (1.96±0.21)×10<sup>-8</sup> Communications in Theoretical Physics 50 (2008) 696
- The best published limit is B(B<sup>+</sup>→π<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>) < 6.9×10<sup>-8</sup> at 90% C.L. by Belle full dataset. Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 011101, arXiv:0804.3656
- $21 \pm 3 B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$  events expected in 1.0 fb<sup>-1</sup>, given the SM prediction.
- A signal yield of 25.3 <sup>+6.7</sup><sub>-6.4</sub> is extracted from the fit, corresponding to a 5.2σ observation.
- The LHCb measurement: (2.4 ± 0.6 (stat) ± 0.2 (syst))×10<sup>-8</sup> in good agreement with the SM.



# $B_d \rightarrow K^*(K^+\pi^-)\mu^+\mu^-$ (1fb<sup>-1</sup>)

**κ**⁻

θκ

- It is a b  $\rightarrow$  s  $\mu^+\mu^-$  FCNC process, with a vector in the final state: a reach phenomenology.
- The decay can be described by three angles  $(\theta_{I}, \theta_{K}, \varphi)$  and by the squared di-muon invariant mass (q<sup>2</sup>).
- Angular observables in this decay have been previously measured by BaBar, Belle, CDF.
- The LHCb fit of the differential amplitude, in the angles and in bins of q<sup>2</sup>, allow to access:
- $F_L$ , the longitudinal polarization of the K\*
- A<sub>FB</sub> of the leptonic system
- T-odd CP A<sub>IM</sub> asymmetry
- The transverse asymmetry  $S_3 = 0.5 \times (1-F_L) \times A_T^2$



 $rac{1}{4}(1-F_L)(1-\cos^2 heta_K)(2\cos^2 heta_\ell-1) +$ 

 $S_3(1-\cos^2\theta_K)(1-\cos^2\theta_\ell)\cos 2\hat{\phi} +$ 

 $A_{Im}(1-\cos^2 heta_K)(1-\cos^2 heta_\ell)\sin 2\hat{\phi}$ 

 $rac{4}{2}A_{FB}(1-\cos^2 heta_K)\cos heta_\ell +$ 

w-f

u/c/t

 $\overline{K}^{*0}$ 

# $B_d \rightarrow K^*(K^+\pi^-)\mu^+\mu^-$ signal selection

The  $K^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$  versus  $\mu^+\mu^-$  invariant mass distribution for candidates in the data sample.



#### The red lines limit the cc-bar resonance regions that are removed in the analysis. The black lines indicate a $\pm 50 \text{MeV/c}^2$ window around the reconstructed B<sub>d</sub> mass.

| $q^2 \; (  { m GeV}^2/c^4 ) \; { m range}$ | Signal Yield     | Background Yield |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| $4m_{\mu}^2 < q^2 < 2.00$                  | $162.4\pm14.2$   | $27.7\pm3.8$     |
| $2.00 < q^2 < 4.30$                        | $71.4\pm10.7$    | $37.1\pm4.1$     |
| $4.30 < q^2 < 8.68$                        | $270.5 \pm 18.8$ | $58.8 \pm 5.5$   |
| $10.09 < q^2 < 12.90$                      | $167.0 \pm 14.9$ | $41.7\pm4.5$     |
| $14.18 < q^2 < 16.00$                      | $113.0\pm11.7$   | $17.1\pm3.0$     |
| $16.00 < q^2 < 19.00$                      | $115.0\pm12.4$   | $23.9\pm3.6$     |
| $1.00 < q^2 < 6.00$                        | $195.2\pm16.9$   | $75.8\pm6.0$     |
| $4m_{\mu}^2 < q^2 < 19.00$                 | $900.0\pm34.4$   | $206.2 \pm 10.3$ |

The signal and background yields resulting from a fit to the  $K^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$  invariant mass distributions of the candidates in the six q<sup>2</sup>-bins used in the analysis.

The BDT selection uses information about the kinematic properties of B<sup>0</sup> meson, B<sup>0</sup> vertex quality, track quality, impact parameter and Particle Identification (PID) of the kaon, the pion and the muons.

#### $B_d \rightarrow K^*(K^+\pi^-)\mu^+\mu^-$ results



#### $A_{FB}$ zero crossing point $B_d \rightarrow K^*(K^+\pi^{-)}\mu^+\mu^-$



Results are in good agreement with Standard Model predictions.

# $\mathsf{B}_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-} \text{(1fb}^{-1}\text{)}$

- Very rare in Standard Model, due to the absence of tree-level FCNC, helicity suppression, CKM suppression:
- $BR_{SM} (B_S \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}$
- $BR_{SM} (B_d \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (0.10 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-9}$ Buras et al., JHEP 10 (2010) 009 E.Gamiz et al. Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 104503



- The SM BRs can be enhanced in NP models.
- $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  decays are relatively easy to trigger and reconstruct. The main issue for the analysis is the background rejection.
- Classification of events in 2D space: Invariant di-muon mass of high quality muon tracks and Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) multivariate discriminant on kinematics and topology variables.
- Control channels used for the expectation for signal and background.

#### **Di-muon invariant mass**



#### **BDT** selection

BDT trained using MC simulated samples of  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $bb \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X$  background. Signal distribution taken from data using  $B_{(s)} \rightarrow h^+h'^-$ . Background distribution from the sidebands of the  $\mu\mu$  invariant mass distribution in the  $B_{(s)}$  mass window.



### $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ candidate ?



$$\begin{split} m(\mu^+\mu^-) &= 5.374 \; GeV/c^2 \\ p_T(\mu^+) &= 2.3 \; GeV/c \\ p_T(\mu^-) &= 3.5 \; GeV/c \end{split}$$

BDT = 0.92 Decay length = 11.5 mm Proper time = 3.5 ps

 $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

LHCb-PAPER-2012-007 arXiv:1203.4493

Branching fraction normalization:



16



 $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  implications

Complementarity of direct and indirect searches



# $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ implications

Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model for BR( $B_d \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)/BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$ 



David Straub, Rencontres de Moriond EW, La Thuile (2012)

### Mixing-induced CPV in $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$

- $B_S \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$  is the counterpart of  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$
- We aim to measure  $\phi_s$ : the phase difference between the  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$  decay amplitudes with or without oscillation.
- $\phi_s$  possibly sensitive to New Physics contributions to B<sub>s</sub> mixing:  $\phi_s = (\phi_s)_{SM} + (\phi_s)_{NP}$

$$(\phi)_{SM} = -2 \arg\left(-\frac{V_{ts}V_{tb}^*}{V_{cs}V_{cb}^*}\right) = -0.036 \pm 0.002$$

 Important differences between B<sub>s</sub> and B<sup>0</sup> cases: ΔM<sub>s</sub> >> ΔM<sub>d</sub> : excellent proper time resolution to resolve oscillations. ΔΓs >> ΔΓd: access to cos(φ<sub>s</sub>) in addition to sin(φ<sub>s</sub>) B<sub>s</sub> → J/ψ KK final state is a mixture of CP-even and CP-odd eigenstates, with 4 contributing amplitudes: KK in P-wave state: final state is CP-odd or CP-even KK in S-wave state: final state is CP-odd

### $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ signals and resolutions





- $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$  candidates:
- Require t > 0.3 ps
- 8 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> mass resolution.
- 21200 signal events (1 fb<sup>-1</sup>).



#### **Time resolution**

- Apply selection without decay length or impact parameter cuts (trigger + offline)
- Calibrate per-event estimate of proper time error from fit to prompt peak.
- 45 fs time resolution.

### $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \varphi$ decay rates



10 parameters:  $\phi_{s_{,}}\Gamma_{s}$ ,  $\Delta\Gamma_{s}$ ,  $\Delta M_{s_{,}}$  3 amplitudes and 3 strong phases

#### $B_S \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ fits (1.0 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

LHCb-CONF-2012-002



## CPV in $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \varphi$

LHCb-CONF-2012-002

Fit of the tagged and the untagged rates as a function of  $B_s$  mass, proper time and angles.





### CPV in $B_S \rightarrow J/\psi \varphi$

Just for illustration purposes ...



#### Charmless two bodies b-hadron decays



- Decay amplitudes from tree and penguin diagrams.
- Tree-penguin interference allows to look for direct CPV.
- Sensitive to  $V_{ub}$  so to the CKM-UT angle  $\gamma$ .
- New Physics possibly contributes to penguin loops.

#### Direct CPV in $B^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ (0.32 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

arXiv:1202.6251 (accepted by PRL) 13250 ± 150 B<sup>0</sup> $\rightarrow$ K $\pi$ 



#### $\int \operatorname{in} B_{S}$ $\int \operatorname{ted} \operatorname{by} \operatorname{PRL} \qquad 314 \pm 27 \operatorname{B}_{S} \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{in} \operatorname{LHCb}$ $A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) - \Gamma(B \to f)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) + \Gamma(B \to f)}$ $A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) - \Gamma(B \to f)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) + \Gamma(B \to f)}$ Direct CPV in $B_{\varsigma} \rightarrow \pi K$ (0.32 fb<sup>-1</sup>) arXiv:1202.6251 (accepted by PRL) **400** Events / ( 0.02 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> ) **LHCb** 350 $B^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ $B_s^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ 300 $B^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ 250 B<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub>→KK 200 B→3-body 150 🛄 Comb. bkg 100 50 50 0<sub>5</sub> 05 5.2 5.2 5.4 5.6 5.4 5.6 5.8 5.8 $K^{\dagger}\pi^{-}$ invariant mass (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) $K^{-}\pi^{+}$ invariant mass (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) $A_{CP}(B_s^0 \to K\pi) = 0.27 \pm 0.08 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02 \,(\text{syst})$ First evidence of CP violation in B<sub>s</sub> decays $(3.3\sigma)$ $A_{CP}(B_s \rightarrow \pi K) = 0.39 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.08$ CDF [Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 181802]

 $A_{CP}(B_s \rightarrow \pi K) \approx A_{dir}^{\pi\pi} = \begin{cases} 0.25 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02 \text{ BaBar } [arXiv:0807.4226] \\ 0.55 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05 \text{ Belle } [PRL 98 (2007) 211801] \\ 29 \end{cases}$ 

#### Annihilation topologies in $B \rightarrow h^+h'^-$



30

#### Time-dependent CPV B $\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ (0.69 fb<sup>-1</sup>)





#### γ measurements



 $\gamma_{Comb}$  is the combination of the available results including all the data available after Moriond 2012 (thus with updates of LHCb analyses to 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>).

 $\gamma_{\text{SM}}$  is the fit prediction from the SM using post LP11 results.

 $\gamma_{comb} = (75.5 \pm 10.5)^{\circ}$  $\gamma_{SM} = (68.5 \pm 3.2)^{\circ}$ 

### $\gamma$ from B $\rightarrow$ DK

- γ plays a unique role in flavour physics: It is the only CP violating parameter that can be measured through tree decays.
- It is a benchmark Standard Model reference point.



Variants use different B or D decays and require a final state common to both D<sup>0</sup> and D<sup>0</sup>-bar



#### Latest results on $B \rightarrow D(\pi K)K$ (ADS)



# LHCb arXiv:1203.3662 Submitted to PLB

36

#### **Evidence of CPV in charm**

Measurement of CP asymmetry at pp collider requires knowledge of production and detection asymmetries; e.g. for  $D^0 \rightarrow f$ , where D meson flavour is tagged by  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$  decay

$$A_{\rm raw}(f) = A_{CP}(f) + A_{\rm D}(f) + A_{\rm D}(\pi_{\rm s}^+) + A_{\rm P}(D^{*+}).$$

Final state detection asymmetry  $A_D(f)$  vanishes for CP eigenstate

Cancel asymmetries by taking difference of raw asymmetries in two different final states. (V - V + )

$$\Delta A_{CP} = A_{\rm raw} (K^- K^+) - A_{\rm raw} (\pi^- \pi^+).$$



#### **Evidence of CPV in charm**

Result, based on 0.62/fb of 2011 data  $\Delta A_{CP} = [-0.82 \pm 0.21(stat.) \pm 0.11(syst.)]\%$ 

 $\Delta A_{CP}$  related mainly to direct CP violation: The contribution from indirect CPV suppressed by difference in mean decay time.



$$\Delta A_{CP} \equiv A_{CP}(K^{-}K^{+}) - A_{CP}(\pi^{-}\pi^{+}) = \left[ a_{CP}^{\text{dir}}(K^{-}K^{+}) - a_{CP}^{\text{dir}}(\pi^{-}\pi^{+}) \right] + \frac{\Delta \langle t \rangle}{\tau} a_{CP}^{\text{ind}}.$$

### Evidence for CPV in charm

- Implications of the LHCb Evidence for Charm CP Violation. arXiv:1111.4987
- Direct CP violation in two-body hadronic charmed meson decays. arXiv: 1201.0785
- CP asymmetries in singly-Cabibbo-suppressed D decays to two pseudoscalar mesons. arXiv:1201.2351
- Direct CP violation in charm and flavor mixing beyond the SM. arXiv:1201.6204
- New Physics Models of Direct CP Violation in Charm Decays. arXiv:1202.2866
- Repercussions of Flavour Symmetry Breaking on CP Violation in D-Meson Decays. arXiv:1202.3795
- On the Universality of CP Violation in Delta F = 1 Processes. arXiv:1202.5038
- The Standard Model confronts CP violation in  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  and  $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ . arXiv:1203.3131
- A consistent picture for large penguins in  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $K^+K^-$ . arXiv:1203.6659
- ...
- ... and many others!
   Further experimental input needed to clarify whether CPV is SM or NP

#### LHCb upgrade



- Run at a nominal luminosity of: L=1.  $\times$  10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- Exploit a fully flexible HLT (software trigger), selecting events synchronously with the BX clock, at 40 MHz.
  - Increase signal efficiency for leptonic channels by a factor 5 and for hadronic channels up to a factor 10.
- Accumulate 50 fb<sup>-1</sup> over 10 years starting from 2018
- For reasons of flexibility and to allow for possible evolutions of the trigger, LHCb decided to design those detectors that need replacement for the upgrade such that they can sustain a minimal luminosity of L=2.×10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### LHCb sensitivity to key channels

CERN/LHCC 2012-007, LHCb TDR 12, 25 May 2012

5 fb<sup>-1</sup>

50 fb<sup>-1</sup>

| Type                     | Observable  | Current                     | LHCb                  | Upgrade               | Theory               |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                          |   | precision                   | 2018                  | $(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$   | uncertainty          |
| $B_s^0$ mixing           | $2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ \phi)$  | 0.10 [9]                    | 0.025                 | 0.008                 | $\sim 0.003$         |
|                          | $2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ f_0(980))$                                      | 0.17 [10]                   | 0.045                 | 0.014                 | $\sim 0.01$          |
|                          | $A_{ m fs}(B^0_s)$  | $6.4 \times 10^{-3} \ [18]$ | $0.6 	imes 10^{-3}$   | $0.2 	imes 10^{-3}$   | $0.03 	imes 10^{-3}$ |
| Gluonic                  | $2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\phi)$                                     | _                           | 0.17                  | 0.03                  | 0.02                 |
| penguin                  | $2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})$                           | _                           | 0.13                  | 0.02                  | < 0.02               |
|                          | $2\beta^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \to \phi K_S^0)$                                       | 0.17 [18]                   | 0.30                  | 0.05                  | 0.02                 |
| Right-handed             | $2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\gamma)$                                   | _                           | 0.09                  | 0.02                  | < 0.01               |
| currents                 | $\tau^{\rm eff}(B^0_s \to \phi \gamma)$   | _                           | 0.13%                 | 0.03%                 | 0.02%                |
| Electroweak              | $S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \text{GeV}^2/c^4)$                 | 0.08 [14]                   | 0.025                 | 0.008                 | 0.02                 |
| penguin                  | $s_0 A_{\rm FB}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$                                    | 25%[14]                     | 8%                    | 2.5%                  | 7 %                  |
|                          | $A_{\rm I}(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 {\rm GeV^2/c^4})$                           | 0.25 [15]                   | 0.08                  | 0.025                 | $\sim 0.02$          |
|                          | $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$ | 25% [16]                    | 8 %                   | 2.5%                  | $\sim 10\%$          |
| Higgs                    | ${\cal B}(B^0_s 	o \mu^+\mu^-)$   | $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ [2]    | $0.5 \times 10^{-9}$  | $0.15 \times 10^{-9}$ | $0.3 	imes 10^{-9}$  |
| $\operatorname{penguin}$ | $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$         | _                           | $\sim 100\%$          | $\sim 35\%$           | $\sim 5 \%$          |
| Unitarity                | $\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)}K^{(*)})$   | $\sim 20^{\circ} \ [19]$    | 4°                    | 0.9°                  | negligible           |
| triangle                 | $\gamma \ (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$  | _                           | 11°                   | $2.0^{\circ}$         | negligible           |
| angles                   | $\beta \ (B^0 \to J/\psi \ K_S^0)$  | $0.8^{\circ}$ [18]          | $0.6^{\circ}$         | $0.2^{\circ}$         | negligible           |
| Charm                    | $A_{\Gamma}$  | $2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ [18]   | $0.40 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.07 \times 10^{-3}$ | _                    |
| $C\!P$ violation         | $\Delta A_{CP}$   | $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ [5]    | $0.65\times 10^{-3}$  | $0.12\times 10^{-3}$  | _                    |

#### Summary

- Concept of LHCb definitely proved.
  - Dedicated experiment for heavy flavour physics exploiting a forward spectrometer at a hadron collider.
- Many world leading results already with 2011 data and many more to come.
  - Significant increase in available samples with 2012 data.
- Standard Model still survives.
  - Now on probing regions where new physics effects might appear.
- LHCb plan the upgrade to be installed in 2018.
  - Essential next step forward for flavour physics.

#### **S**pares

### Mixing-induced CPV in $B_S \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$

The interfering amplitudes









# Tagging

- Tag the initial B<sub>s</sub> flavour state with the other b-hadron.
- Electron, muon, kaon, or inclusively reconstructed vertex.
- Per-event mistag-probability from neural network trained on MC.
- Calibration of the per-event mistag probability using flavour specific decays similar to  $B_S \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$



Tagging power for  $B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \varphi$ :  $\epsilon D^2 = (2.29 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.26)\%$ To be added yet: "same-side" tagging, using charged kaon produced in association with  $B_s$ .

### Same side tagging and $\Delta M_s$

- $\Delta M_s$  analysis done with  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^-$  (K<sup>-</sup>K<sup>+</sup> $\pi^-$ )  $\pi^+$
- Same Side Kaon Tagging global calibration tested with  $D_s^-$  decays:  $\epsilon D^2 = (1.3 \pm 0.4)\%$
- For the future: optimization and per-event calibration with  $\Delta Ms$  oscillations.
- Opposite Side Tagging per event calibration done with  $B^0 \rightarrow D^- \pi^+$ ,  $\Delta M_d$  oscillation:  $\epsilon D^2 = (3.1 \pm 0.8)\%$
- $\Delta M_s = (17.725 \pm 0.041 \pm 0.026) \text{ ps}^{-1} \text{ using a combination of the opposite-side and same-side tagging algorithms.}$





LHCb-CONF-2011-050

#### LHCb performance in 2011



#### 2012 data taking (so far)

LHCb Integrated Luminosity at 4 TeV in 2012



#### The present LO trigger architecture



#### **1 MHz L0 trigger rate limitation**



#### LLT efficiency vs LLT output rate



Relative rates LLT- $\mu$ : LLT-hadron: LLT-e/ $\gamma$  = 1:3:1.

### Trigger: the key to higher luminosity



#### **Detector modifications**

