

The Curvaton Unification: Non-linear formalism for the generalized curvaton mechanism with/without inevitable modulation

Tomohiro Matsuda (SIT)

with K. Kohri (KEK) and S. Enomoto (Nagoya)

Saitama Institute of Technology (SIT)

May. 2012

pre-Summary

For the curvaton mechanism

We consider the evolution of the curvature perturbations caused by the Slow-rolling curvaton.

pre-Summary

For the curvaton mechanism

We consider the evolution of the curvature perturbations caused by the Slow-rolling curvaton.

We found the **non-linear formulation** for this generalization and calculated the **non-Gaussianity**.

pre-Summary

For the curvaton mechanism

We consider the evolution of the curvature perturbations caused by the Slow-rolling curvaton.

We found the **non-linear formulation** for this generalization and calculated the **non-Gaussianity**.

For the modulation

"Modulation" is usually discussed for the "Moduli perturbation", but any kind perturbation can cause creation of δN when the scaling of the density changes. In this sense, we are considering "modulation without moduli".

This "peculiar modulation" is described using the non-linear formalism and unified with the curvaton mechanism.

Introduction

“Usual curvaton” uses **TWO** components;

Matter ($\rho_\sigma \propto a^{-3}$) and **Radiation** ($\rho_r \propto a^{-4}$).

However,

Introduction

“Usual curvaton” uses **TWO** components;

Matter ($\rho_m \propto a^{-3}$) and **Radiation** ($\rho_r \propto a^{-4}$).

However,

Particle physics models predict **many** scalar fields that will be displaced during inflation.

Any kind of isocurvature perturbations can be created.



Introduction

“Usual curvaton” uses **TWO** components;

Matter ($\rho_\sigma \propto a^{-3}$) and **Radiation** ($\rho_r \propto a^{-4}$).

However,

Particle physics models predict **many** scalar fields that will be displaced during inflation.

Any kind of isocurvature perturbations can be created.



Multi-component Universe

Just after inflation, there will be **many** components in the Universe (**Multi-component** Universe), whose components scale like **Matter**, **Radiation**, **slow-rolling**, and so forth

So why not generalize the curvaton mechanism so that we can use it when **Slow-rolling** is significant.

For the slow-rolling component, we already have

Papers

1. **Inflating** curvaton, [arXiv:1110.2951]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri, D. H. Lyth and T. Matsuda.
2. **Hybrid curvaton**, [arXiv:1201.6037]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri and T. Matsuda,
*This includes both Inflating and non-inflating

One might think that [generalized curvaton \simeq double inflation],
however

For the slow-rolling component, we already have

Papers

1. **Inflating** curvaton, [arXiv:1110.2951]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri, D. H. Lyth and T. Matsuda.
2. **Hybrid curvaton**, [arXiv:1201.6037]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri and T. Matsuda,
*This includes both Inflating and non-inflating

One might think that [generalized curvaton \simeq double inflation],
however

1. The secondary inflation can **not** create dominant perturbation in the usual (double-quadratic) double inflation. (Strict bound)

For the slow-rolling component, we already have

Papers

1. **Inflating** curvaton, [arXiv:1110.2951]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri, D. H. Lyth and T. Matsuda.
2. **Hybrid curvaton**, [arXiv:1201.6037]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri and T. Matsuda,
*This includes both Inflating and non-inflating

One might think that [generalized curvaton \simeq double inflation],
however

1. The secondary inflation can **not** create dominant perturbation in the usual (double-quadratic) double inflation. (Strict bound)
2. **Non-Gaussianity** is not trivial. (We will show this calculation.)

For the slow-rolling component, we already have

Papers

1. **Inflating** curvaton, [arXiv:1110.2951]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri, D. H. Lyth and T. Matsuda.
2. **Hybrid curvaton**, [arXiv:1201.6037]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri and T. Matsuda,
*This includes both Inflating and non-inflating

One might think that [generalized curvaton \simeq double inflation],
however

1. The secondary inflation can **not** create dominant perturbation in the usual (double-quadratic) double inflation. (Strict bound)
2. **Non-Gaussianity** is not trivial. (We will show this calculation.)
3. Unconventional (Moduli-less) **Modulation**. (Will be discussed later)

For the slow-rolling component, we already have

Papers

1. **Inflating** curvaton, [arXiv:1110.2951]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri, D. H. Lyth and T. Matsuda.
2. **Hybrid curvaton**, [arXiv:1201.6037]
K. Dimopoulos, K. Kohri and T. Matsuda,
*This includes both Inflating and non-inflating

One might think that [generalized curvaton \simeq double inflation],
however

1. The secondary inflation can **not** create dominant perturbation in the usual (double-quadratic) double inflation. (Strict bound)
 2. **Non-Gaussianity** is not trivial. (We will show this calculation.)
 3. Unconventional (Moduli-less) **Modulation**. (Will be discussed later)
 4. **Secondary** inflation is **not** always needed for the mechanism. **Critical !**
- Obviously, the story must be discriminated from the double inflation.

Problems

Let me first list the problems.

1) ζ_σ is not always constant.

→ Careful treatment is needed, but not serious.

Problems

Let me first list the problems.

1) ζ_σ is not always constant.

→ Careful treatment is needed, but not serious.

2) Must be generalized for any w_i ;

$$\dot{\rho}_i = -3H(1 + w_i)\rho_i,$$

Problems

Let me first list the problems.

1) ζ_σ is not always constant.

→ Careful treatment is needed, but not serious.

2) Must be generalized for any w_i ;

$$\dot{\rho}_i = -3H(1 + w_i)\rho_i,$$

3) The transition (end of slow-roll, decay, etc..)

does **not** always coincide with uniform density.

→ **Additional** δN creation at the boundary is possible.

This is the “modulation” we are thinking about in this talk.

Usually, the “modulation” is caused by an additional “moduli”, but in this talk the source is the usual isocurvature perturbation of the curvaton.

OK, let us see the details using the Non-linear formulation =>

Non-linear formulation

NL-formulation is useful for the higher order calculation.

A General proof of the conservation of the curvature...

D. H. Lyth, K. A. Malik and M. Sasaki,
 JCAP **0505**, 004 (2005) [astro-ph/0411220]

For each component “i”, define the **component perturbation**.

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_i &= \delta N + \int_{\bar{\rho}_i}^{\rho_i} H \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_i}{3(1+w_i)\tilde{\rho}_i} = \delta N + \frac{1}{3(1+w_i)} \ln \left(\frac{\rho_i}{\bar{\rho}_i} \right) \\ &\equiv \delta N + \zeta_i^{\text{iso}} \simeq \delta N + \frac{1}{3(1+w_i)} \frac{\delta \rho_i^{\text{iso}}}{\bar{\rho}_i},\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

ρ_i is on the uniform density hypersurface $\delta\rho \equiv \sum \delta\rho_i = 0$.
 Calculation gives the δN formalism; $\delta N = [r_1 \zeta_1 + (1 - r_1) \zeta_2]$,
 where $r_1 \equiv \frac{\dot{\rho}_1}{\dot{\rho}_1 + \dot{\rho}_2}$.

Non-linear formulation

NL-formulation is useful for the higher order calculation.

A General proof of the conservation of the curvature...

D. H. Lyth, K. A. Malik and M. Sasaki,
 JCAP **0505**, 004 (2005) [astro-ph/0411220]

For each component “i”, define the **component perturbation**.

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_i &= \delta N + \int_{\bar{\rho}_i}^{\rho_i} H \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_i}{3(1+w_i)\tilde{\rho}_i} = \delta N + \frac{1}{3(1+w_i)} \ln \left(\frac{\rho_i}{\bar{\rho}_i} \right) \\ &\equiv \delta N + \zeta_i^{\text{iso}} \simeq \delta N + \frac{1}{3(1+w_i)} \frac{\delta \rho_i^{\text{iso}}}{\bar{\rho}_i},\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

ρ_i is on the uniform density hypersurface $\delta\rho \equiv \sum \delta\rho_i = 0$.
 Calculation gives the δN formalism; $\delta N = [r_1\zeta_1 + (1-r_1)\zeta_2]$,
 where $r_1 \equiv \frac{\dot{\rho}_1}{\dot{\rho}_1 + \dot{\rho}_2}$. **Our idea is very simple.**

Use this formulation for the **slow-rolling** component.

$$w_i + 1 = \epsilon_w \ll 1$$

The inflating curvaton

- 1) The primordial inflation creates $\delta\sigma$ (isocurvature).
- 2) Inflating curvaton makes $\delta\sigma \rightarrow \zeta$ (Iso \rightarrow Adi).
- 3) ζ_σ will be constant after a few $N_{2\text{nd}}$ (e-foldings).

Simple replacement gives;

$$\delta N = r_1 \zeta_\sigma + (1 - r_1) \zeta_r,$$

$$r_1 \simeq \frac{\epsilon_w \rho_\sigma}{\epsilon_w \rho_\sigma + 4\rho_r}$$

where $3(1 + w_\sigma) = 3$ is replaced by $3(1 + w_\sigma) = 3\epsilon_w \ll 1$

The inflating curvaton

- 1) The primordial inflation creates $\delta\sigma$ (isocurvature).
- 2) Inflating curvaton makes $\delta\sigma \rightarrow \zeta$ (Iso \rightarrow Adi).
- 3) ζ_σ will be constant after a few $N_{2\text{nd}}$ (e-foldings).

Simple replacement gives;

$$\delta N = r_1 \zeta_\sigma + (1 - r_1) \zeta_r,$$

$$r_1 \simeq \frac{\epsilon_w \rho_\sigma}{\epsilon_w \rho_\sigma + 4\rho_r}$$

where $3(1 + w_\sigma) = 3$ is replaced by $3(1 + w_\sigma) = 3\epsilon_w \ll 1$

- 4) The end of the curvaton inflation is **identical** to the uniform density hypersurfaces if the curvaton inflation is enough. (Almost single component)

This model is very healthy!

We can calculate the perturbation \Rightarrow

Calculation of the perturbation (up to 2nd)

Consider the simple quadratic potential (actually 3 components..)

Potential

$$\rho_1 = V_0 \pm \frac{1}{2} m^2 \sigma^2$$

Assuming that the end of curvaton inflation is identical to the uniform density hypersurfaces, (true for the inflating curvaton) we find at first order

Curvature perturbations (1st order)

$$\delta N^{(1)} = r_1 \zeta_1^{(1)}.$$

* $r_1 \simeq 1$ when $N_{2\text{nd}}$ is enough.

This is rather trivial (identical to the simple replacement),
but \Rightarrow **second order!**

At second order, we find defining $R \equiv \frac{\pm \frac{1}{2} m^2 \sigma^2}{V_0 \pm \frac{1}{2} m^2 \sigma^2}$;

2nd order δN

$$\delta N^{(2)} = \left[\frac{1}{r_1} \frac{3\epsilon_w}{2R} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) - 6\epsilon_w + 4 + (3\epsilon_w - 4)r_1 \right] \times (\delta N^{(1)})^2,$$

$\sigma_{\text{ini}} \equiv g(\sigma_*)$ is the quantity at the beginning of the curvaton mechanism. The non-Gaussianity is ($R < 0$ is possible!)

Non-gaussianity

$$f_{NL} = \frac{1}{r_1} \frac{5\epsilon_w}{4R} \left(1 + \frac{gg''}{g'^2} \right) - 5\epsilon_w + \frac{10}{3} + \left(\frac{5}{2}\epsilon_w - \frac{10}{3} \right) r_1.$$

The result coincides with the usual curvaton when $R = 1$ and $\epsilon_w = 1$.

This is the **straight generalization** of the curvaton mechanism.
Next topic is “**Modulation in the curvaton mechanism**” =>

Unconventional Modulation **without moduli**

The Usual curvaton mechanism

- (1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{\text{osc}} = m_{\sigma}$.
- (2) The **end** (decay) is determined by $H_d = \Gamma_{\sigma}$.

Unconventional Modulation without moduli

The Usual curvaton mechanism

- (1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{\text{osc}} = m_{\sigma}$.
- (2) The **end** (decay) is determined by $H_d = \Gamma_{\sigma}$.

Both ends are identical to the uniform (total) density.



There is **no “Modulation”**
 (No additional δN creation at the boundary.)

Unconventional Modulation without moduli

The Usual curvaton mechanism

- (1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{\text{osc}} = m_{\sigma}$.
- (2) The **end** (decay) is determined by $H_d = \Gamma_{\sigma}$.

Both ends are identical to the uniform (total) density.



There is **no “Modulation”**
 (No additional δN creation at the boundary.)

However

This is **not always** the case in the **generalized** curvaton mechanism.

The hybrid curvaton **without** inflation
 will be the most significant example. =>

The hybrid curvaton / not inflating

(1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{\text{osc}} = m_\sigma$.

The hybrid curvaton / not inflating

(1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{\text{osc}} = m_\sigma$.

← OK

The hybrid curvaton / not inflating

- (1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{osc} = m_\sigma$.
- (2) The **end** is determined by $\sigma = \sigma_c$.

← OK

The hybrid curvaton / not inflating

- (1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{osc} = m_\sigma$.
- (2) The **end** is determined by $\sigma = \sigma_c$.

← OK

← ×

The hybrid curvaton / not inflating

- (1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{osc} = m_\sigma$. ← OK
- (2) The **end** is determined by $\sigma = \sigma_c$. ← ×

Critical point σ_c !!

In the **multi-component** Universe, $\sigma = \sigma_c$ does not coincide with the uniform density hypersurfaces because $\delta\rho \neq \delta\rho_\sigma$, which is due to the **conventional isocurvature** perturbations.

The hybrid curvaton / not inflating

- (1) The oscillation **begins** at $H_{osc} = m_\sigma$. ← OK
- (2) The **end** is determined by $\sigma = \sigma_c$. ← ×

Critical point σ_c !!

In the **multi-component** Universe, $\sigma = \sigma_c$ does not coincide with the uniform density hypersurfaces because $\delta\rho \neq \delta\rho_\sigma$, which is due to the **conventional isocurvature** perturbations.

Modulation

There is **“Modulation” without Moduli!!**

Modulation in the curvaton mechanism

Non-linear formalism with modulation

$$\zeta_i = \delta N + \int_{\bar{\rho}_i}^{\rho_x} H \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_i}{3(1+w_{i+})\tilde{\rho}_i} + \int_{\rho_x}^{\rho_i} H \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_i}{3(1+w_{i-})\tilde{\rho}_i}, \quad (2)$$

No modulation when $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_i$

We **divide** the integral introducing **the phase transition at ρ_x** .

Take $\bar{\rho}_i$ just **after** the transition and think about the transition from slow to Osc (Matter). ($\epsilon_w \ll 1 \rightarrow w = 0$). The component perturbation is conserved after the transition.

Then...

ζ_i calculated above gives the initial quantity ζ_i^{ini} for the usual curvaton mechanism.

I think we need some examples...

"OUR" Modulation

When the conventional isocurvature perturbation causes $\rho_x \neq \rho_i$, **transition does not coincide** with the uniform density hypersurfaces. Note that no "moduli" is assumed.

Example Hybrid curvaton $\rho_x \rightarrow \bar{\rho}_i$ (Opposite!)

Transition is determined by σ_c , **not** by the total density. The component perturbation at the beginning of the oscillation is

$$\zeta_i = \delta N + \frac{1}{3\epsilon_w} \ln \left(\frac{\rho_i}{\bar{\rho}_i} \right),$$

which is **obviously enhanced by the slow-rolling** $\epsilon_w \ll 1$.

In the hybrid (no inflating) model the **modulation always dominates** ζ_i , and δN is created **during oscillation** via the **conventional** curvaton mechanism.

"OUR" Modulation

When the conventional isocurvature perturbation causes $\rho_x \neq \rho_i$, **transition does not coincide** with the uniform density hypersurfaces. Note that no "moduli" is assumed.

Example Hybrid curvaton $\rho_x \rightarrow \bar{\rho}_i$ (Opposite!)

Transition is determined by σ_c , **not** by the total density. The component perturbation at the beginning of the oscillation is

$$\zeta_i = \delta N + \frac{1}{3\epsilon_w} \ln \left(\frac{\rho_i}{\bar{\rho}_i} \right),$$

which is **obviously enhanced by the slow-rolling** $\epsilon_w \ll 1$.

In the hybrid (no inflating) model the **modulation always dominates** ζ_i , and δN is created **during oscillation** via the **conventional** curvaton mechanism.

Source = Modulation, Evolution = curvaton;

Peculiar collaboration!!

Back to Inflating curvaton / with ? / without? modulation

Long inflation

($N_{\text{curv}} \gg 1$) leads to significant decay of the isocurvature perturbations

$$\rho_i \rightarrow \rho$$

(At the end of inflation we find "uniform $\rho_i \simeq$ uniform ρ ")

- * Standard "Moduli-caused Modulation" does not decay because the moduli is supposed to be slow-rolling.
("Modulation at the end of hybrid inflation")

Back to Inflating curvaton / with ? / without? modulation

Long inflation

($N_{\text{curv}} \gg 1$) leads to significant decay of the isocurvature perturbations

$$\rho_i \rightarrow \rho$$

(At the end of inflation we find "uniform $\rho_i \simeq$ uniform ρ ")

* Standard "Moduli-caused Modulation" does not decay because the moduli is supposed to be slow-rolling.
("Modulation at the end of hybrid inflation")

No modulation when $N_{2\text{nd}}$ is enough

In that case, the curvaton inflation ends almost at

$$H = H_e.$$

We thus find no Modulation after enough e-foldings.

Summary

For the curvaton mechanism...

The curvaton mechanism always assumes Slow-rolling phase, but there has been no detailed discussion about the evolution caused by the slow-rolling component. Especially, the non-Gaussianity parameter has not been calculated.

Summary

For the curvaton mechanism...

The curvaton mechanism always assumes Slow-rolling phase, but there has been no detailed discussion about the evolution caused by the slow-rolling component. Especially, the non-Gaussianity parameter has not been calculated.

We have calculated.

Summary

For the curvaton mechanism...

The curvaton mechanism always assumes Slow-rolling phase, but there has been no detailed discussion about the evolution caused by the slow-rolling component. Especially, the non-Gaussianity parameter has not been calculated.

We have calculated.

For the modulation

"Modulation" has been discussed for the "Moduli perturbation", but there has been no discussion for the "Modulation caused by the isocurvature perturbations".

Summary

For the curvaton mechanism...

The curvaton mechanism always assumes Slow-rolling phase, but there has been no detailed discussion about the evolution caused by the slow-rolling component. Especially, the non-Gaussianity parameter has not been calculated.

We have calculated.

For the modulation

"Modulation" has been discussed for the "Moduli perturbation", but there has been no discussion for the "Modulation caused by the isocurvature perturbations".

Unified with the curvaton in the non-linear formalism.