#### Probing the Universal Extra Dimension at the LHC

#### Jesús M. Moreno



Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Madrid

based on work done in collaboration with A. Belyaev, M. Brown, and C. Papineau

Planck 2012, Warsaw May 2012

# Outline

- Introduction
- The model
- Spectrum and radiative corrections
- Constraints
- Implementation
- MUED at the LHC
- Conclusion

## Introduction

#### Motivation

.....

• Many beyond the SM theories rely on the existence of Extra Dimensions (ED)

(eg, superstring theory, the best candidate to unify gravity & gauge interactions, is only consistent in 10 D space-time)

- The presence of ED could have an impact on scales  $<< M_{Planck}$
- $\Rightarrow$  New perspectives to address issues such as
  - The nature of electroweak symmetry breaking
  - The origin of fermion mass hierarchies
  - The supersymmetry breaking mechanism
  - The nature of dark matter
  - The description of strongly interacting sectors (provide a way to model them)

#### Introduction

#### The underlying physics will depend on

• Size and shape of Extra Dimensions

Compact (circle, tori ...), ADD, warped (eg Randall Sundrum) Large Volume, etc

- The existence of **space-time subspaces** in which the different fields propagate (motivated by D-branes)
- The presence of **background fields**

(e.g. fluxes)

#### Let us concentrate on the size:

Although present data constraint them, they could be **as large as**  $O(I \text{ TeV})^{-I}$ 

✓ In this case they would be <u>accessible at the LHC</u>

✓ Such models could be relevant for DM purposes (WIMP ~ O (I TeV))

#### Simplest one:

#### Minimal Universal Extra Dimension scenario

 Space-time: flat 4D x one single extra dimension compactified on a circle of radius R

- The SM particles are promoted to 5D fields that propagate in the whole space-time
- Gauge couplings are not dimensionless:
   ⇒ non-renormalizable model
  - ~ an effective 5D theory valid up to some cut-off  $\Lambda$
- For small radius, R, it is reduced to an effective 4D theory whose light spectrum contains the SM

The **precise** SM is obtained by imposing a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry  $S^1 \rightarrow S^1/Z_2$ 

- It breaks the translational invariance along y
- I/2 of the 4D fermions are projected out allowing for chirality

Appelquist, Cheng, Dobrescu 2001



 $S^1 imes \mathcal{M}_4$ 





• 5D  $P_M P^M = 0$  M = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5• 4D  $p_\mu p^\mu - \frac{n^2}{R^2} = 0$   $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$ 

 $m^2 = \frac{n^2}{R^2}$ 



$$m^2 = \frac{n^2}{R^2} \qquad \qquad m^2 = m_0^2 + \frac{m^2}{R^2} + \frac{m^2}$$

 $n^2$ 

 $\overline{R^2}$ 

 $\frac{n}{R}$ 

0



Spectrum Like in the Hidrogen atom I = 1/2F = 2fine structure splitting J = 3/2F = 1 $n = 2, {}^{2}P$ 2 hyperfine structure splitting F = 1J = 1/2F = 0F = 1J=1/2 $n = 1, {}^{2}S$ 0 F = 0

$$m^2 = \frac{n^2}{R^2}$$
  $m^2 = m_0^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}$   $m^2 = m_0^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2} + \Delta_{loop\ corr}$ 

Transitions between KK modes?



# If neutral, the LKP could be a WIMP Dark Matter candidate

 $O(\mathsf{ITeV})$ 

..... like SUSY R-parity and LSP (neutralino DM)

#### Our goal:

A. Belyaev, M. Brown, J.M. and C. Papineau

Provide a description of the effective 4D modes (masses and interactions)

#### Consistent

- -Preserves gauge invariance (from the 5D point of view)
- -Preserves unitarity
- .... or break it in a controlled way (when keeping a part of the infinity KK tower)

#### Accurate

-Include 5D quantum corrections that lift the spectrum degeneracy and fix the mass splitting

- i) crucial for LHC searches (decay modes, softness of final states)
- ii) crucial for DM evaluation (coanihilation effects, resonances)
- Interplay with EWSB effects (complicated mixings)

#### Radiative corrections

#### **Dispersion relations**

- Fixed by 5D Lorentz invariance of the tree level Lagrangian:

$$E^2 = \vec{p}^2 + p_5^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m_n^2$$

- Compactification breaks 5D Lorentz invariance: Loop diagrams will correct KK masses !



Brane corrections

 $p_5$  is non-conserved ( $\Delta p_5 \neq 0$  at fixed points)

 $\delta m_n = \beta_i \frac{n}{R} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}$  $\delta m_n^2 = \beta_i \frac{n^2}{R^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}$ 

#### Radiative corrections

#### This fixes our set-up

We model the corrections to the self-energy by wave-function normalisations. We replace a 5D-Lorentz conserving action

$$-\frac{1}{4}F^a_{MN}F^{aMN} + |D_M\Phi|^2$$

by the following:

$$-\frac{1}{4}F^{a\mu\nu}F^{a}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \, \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{v}}F^{a}_{\mu5}F^{a\mu}_{5} + |D_{\mu}\Phi|^{2} - \mathbf{Z}_{\Phi} \, |D_{5}\Phi|^{2}$$

0

Belanger, Kakizaki, Pukhov

which is gauge invariant but not Lorentz covariant.

In this way, the fields receive a KK mass

$$m_n = Z \frac{n}{R} \qquad \qquad m_n^2 = Z \frac{n^2}{R^2}$$

and fit

$$Z_i = 1 + \beta_i \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2}$$



Figure 1: The first KK level of the MUED spectrum for  $R^{-1} = 800$  GeV,  $m_H = 120$  GeV,  $\Lambda R = 20$  and  $\mu R = 1$ , at tree level (left) and one loop (right).



Figure 2: The first KK level of the MUED spectrum for  $R^{-1} = 1500 \text{ GeV}, m_H = 120 \text{ GeV},$ 

### Spectrum



# Model Implementation

#### • In LanHEP :

Semenov, 2010

LanHEP is a package that generates the Feynman rules out of a Lagrangian. We have implemented MUED@ILoop in Feynman and unitary gauges. We discart the bulk corrections.

#### • In CalcHEP/CompHEP :

Pukhov, 1999

CalcHEP calculates cross-sections out of Feynman rules of a theory. The vertices generated by LanHEP are included into CalcHEP. We have taken particular care of the splitting of 4-gluon vertices. The procedure is entirely automated.

We are cross-checking our implementation with the Annecy group. It agrees in the unitary gauge.

Process	DKM	BBMP		Codo validation
$G^{(1)}  G^{(1)} \to G  G$	3.135E+1	3.1	35E+1	Code validation
$G^{(1)}  G  o G^{(1)}  G$	3.183E+3	3.1	83E+3	
$G^{(1)}  G^{(1)} \to G^{(1)}  G^{(1)}$	3.170E+3	3.1	57E+3	
$G^{(1)} Z^{(1)} \to c  \bar{c}$	$3.952E{-2}$	3.8	888E-2	
$G^{(1)}  \gamma^{(1)}  ightarrow b  \overline{b}$	6.761E - 3	6.1	95E-3	
$\gamma^{(1)} \gamma^{(1)} \rightarrow t  \bar{t}$	6.837E-3	5.5	530E-3	
$Z^{(1)} Z^{(1)} \rightarrow d  \overline{d}$	1.830E - 2	1.8	80E-2	
$Z^{(1)} Z^{(1)} \to W^+ W^-$	6.910E+0	6.8	378E + 0	
$W^{+(1)} W^{-(1)} \to Z Z$	2.040E + 0	2.0	041E+0	
$W^{+(1)} W^{-(1)}  ightarrow Z \gamma$	1.226E + 0	1.226E+0 3.114E+0		Sample of processes with two-gauge bosons for cross-section comparison (in pb) between previous implementation (Datta, Kong, Matchev DKM) and our implementation (BBMP).
$W^{+(1)} W^{-(1)} \to W^{+} W^{-}$	3.507E + 0			
$W^{+(1)} W^{-(1)}  ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$1.842E{-1}$	$1.842E{-1}$		
$Z \gamma  ightarrow W^{+(1)} W^{-(1)}$	1.738E + 0	1.738E + 0		
$Z^{(1)} Z^{(1)} \to W^{+(1)} W^{-(1)}$	3.635E+2	3.615E + 2		
$Z Z^{(1)} \to W^+ W^{-(1)}$	1.134E+2	1.097E+2		
$W^{+(1)}W^{-(1)} \to W^{+(1)}W^{-(1)}$	1.820E + 2	1.816E + 2		
		$P^{(1)}$	5.194E - 2	
$W^+ W^{-(1)}  ightarrow Z^{(1)} \gamma$	2.858E+1	$V^{(1)}$	2.853E + 1	
		total	2.858E + 1	
		$P^{(1)}$	2.015E - 1	]
$W^+ W^{-(1)} \to Z^{(1)} Z$	1.134E+2	$V^{(1)}$	1.097E+2	
		total	1.099E+2	

The tower of KK particles modify the gauge bosons self-energies, contributing to the S,T, and U electroweak parameters:

T. Appelquist H.-U. Yee 2001 I. Gogoladze and C. Macesanu, 2006

$$\begin{split} S &= \quad \frac{4\sin^2\theta_W}{\alpha} \; \left[ \frac{3g^2}{4(4\pi)^2} \left( \frac{2}{9} \frac{m_t^2}{M_{KK}^2} \right) \zeta(2) \; + \; \frac{g^2}{4(4\pi)^2} \left( \frac{1}{6} \frac{M_H^2}{M_{KK}^2} \right) \zeta(2) \right] \,, \\ T &= \quad \frac{1}{\alpha} \; \left[ \frac{3g^2}{2(4\pi)^2} \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \left( \frac{2}{3} \frac{m_t^2}{M_{KK}^2} \right) \zeta(2) \; + \; \frac{g^2 \sin^2\theta_W}{(4\pi)^2 \cos^2\theta_W} \left( -\frac{5}{12} \frac{M_H^2}{M_{KK}^2} \right) \zeta(2) \right] \,, \\ U &= \quad -\frac{4\sin^2\theta_W}{\alpha} \; \left[ \frac{g^2 \sin^2\theta_W}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{M_W^2}{M_{KK}^2} \left( \frac{1}{6} \zeta(2) - \frac{1}{15} \frac{M_H^2}{M_{KK}^2} \zeta(4) \right) \right] \,, \end{split}$$



The tower of KK particles modify the gauge bosons self-energies, contributing to the S,T, and U electroweak parameters:

T. Appelquist H.-U. Yee 2001 I. Gogoladze and C. Macesanu, 2006



#### FCNC

K. Agashe, N.G. Deshpande, G.-H. WuL. A. J. Buras, A. Poschenrieder, M. Spranger, A. Weiler

KK modes will give contributions to FCNC processes . From  $b \rightarrow s \gamma$ 

I/R > 600 GeV

#### Cosmology (DM)

Belanger, Kakizaki, Pukhov

The evaluation of the LKP relic abundance depends on the spectrum details and on the number of KK levels included in the calculation (eg level 2 resonances, level 2 particles in the final state, etc) Electroweak symmetry breaking effects are also important.

#### I/R as high as I.3 TeV

WMAP imposes a bound from above to DM scale: if DM were heavier it would lead to the Universe having a measurable positive curvature

I/R < I.6 TeV

See also T. Flacke and M. Brown talks



B. Bhattacherjee, K. Ghosh

H. Murayama, M. Nojiri, K. Tobioka

A. Belyaev, M. Brown, J.M. M. and C. Papineau

- KK-gluon and KK-quarks are the particles with largest production cross section at the LHC.
- For  $R^{-1} > 500 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $q_1q_1$  production dominates.







• We use lepton multiplicity to clasify the # of events



Main backgrounds for multilepton processes





Lepton multiplicity distribution for background versus signal after the acceptance cuts



Invariant mass of the two leptons with the highest  $P_T$  for the background versus the signal after the acceptance cuts



mUED Signal vs Background @LHC,  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ 



 $\int_{-1}^{10} \int_{0}^{2} \int_{25}^{10} \int_{50}^{10} \int_{75}^{10} \int_{10}^{10} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{25}^{10} \int_{50}^{10} \int_{75}^{10} \int_{10}^{10} \int_{10}^$ 

Transverse momentum of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd highest  $P_T$ leptons after the acceptance and  $|M_Z - M_{11}| > 10$  GeV mass window cuts for backgrounds versus signal



Lepton multiplicity distribution for background versus signal after all cuts (acceptance, mass windows cut,  $P_T^{11} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $P_T^{12} < 70 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $P_T^{13} < 50 \text{ GeV}$ , MET > 50 GeV,  $M_{eff} > R^{-1}$  /5) applied,



MET /  $M_{eff}$  distribution for background versus signal after acceptance, mass window cut,  $P_T^{11} < 100$  GeV,  $P_T^{12} < 70$  GeV,  $P_T^{13} < 50$  GeV cuts

LHC @ 7 TeV exclusion and discovery potential for mUED for different luminosities.



# Conclusion

- We have developed the effective 4D MUED@IL model by including EWSB and loop-corrections in a gauge invariant manner.
- We have implemented it in LanHeP + CalcHEP code that will make public soon.
- Present data provide strong constraints on the (R<sup>-1</sup>, m<sub>H</sub>) model parameters.
- KK vs SUSY: decay produced particles are softer.
- 3-lepton signal seems the more promising channel to look for MUED at the LHC
  - New techniques: (same / different sign leptons, mT2, etc)
  - Devoted analysis, new LHC data