The a-theorem and the asymptotics of 4D-QFT

Riccardo Rattazzi

M. Luty, J. Polchinski, RR **arXiv:1204.5221**

conceivable RG flows

but all known examples asymptote to CFT

- free (QED, massless QCD)
- strongly coupled (Supersymmetry)
- trivial (real QCD)

a-theorem suggests constraints on other options

✦ Ruling out non-CFT asymptotics in perturbation theory

✦ Towards a non-perturbative proof

QFT in gravity background

$$
\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}\,=\,\Omega(x)^2g_{\mu\nu}
$$

$$
g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}
$$

background

$$
\Omega \equiv 1 + \varphi
$$

guantum effective action $W[\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}]$ 1 √*g* δ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}(x)} \equiv T_{\mu\nu}(x)$

- diff invariant
- \bullet finite up to local counterterms

 $\text{possible counterterms} \quad (\text{dim} \leq 4)$

On shell dilaton : $\Box \Omega = 0$

On-shell dilaton amplitudes are fixed by flat limit QFT

modulo C.C. term

$$
A(p_1,...,p_4) = \frac{\delta^4 W}{\delta \varphi(p_1) \cdots \delta \varphi(p_4)} = \langle T(p_1)T(p_2)T(p_3)T(p_4) \rangle + \text{contact terms.}
$$

$$
p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^2 = p_4^2 = 0
$$

$$
\text{or} \quad \text{In CFT} \quad A(s, t) \qquad \text{finite and yet local}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Weyl} \quad \text{anomaly} \quad \text{W_{CFT}}[\Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}] = W_{CFT}[g_{\mu\nu}] - S_{\text{WZ}}[g_{\mu\nu}, \Omega; a, c] \quad \text{Schwimmer, Theisen 'II}
$$
\n
$$
-2 a \left(\partial \ln \Omega\right)^4
$$
\n
$$
\text{M}(s, t) \qquad \longrightarrow a \qquad \text{Komargodski, Schwimmer 'II}
$$

In approximate CFT α = running coupling in *(Wilsonian)* effective action

CFTUV

$$
\oint_C \frac{A(s,0)}{s^3} ds = 0
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nI_{IR} + I_{UV} + I_{RG} = 0 \\
a_{IR} = a_{UV} - I_{RG} < a_{UV}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
I_{RG} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{\text{Im} A}{s^3} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{\sigma}{s^2} > 0
$$

- I_{RG} is nicely finite in CFT-to-CFT flows
- It had to be so, cause A'' does not require renormalization; it is just a function of the renormalized QFT couplings
- Finiteness of I_{RG} \longrightarrow constraint on QFT asymptotics

✦ Ruling out non-CFT asymptotics in perturbation theory

$$
\text{study} \quad \frac{da}{d \ln \Lambda} = \frac{dI_{RG}}{d \ln \Lambda}
$$

✦ Towards a non-perturbative proof

$$
S[\Omega^2\eta_{\mu\nu},\,\hat{\Phi},\,m_i] = S[\eta_{\mu\nu},\,\Phi,\,\Omega m_i] \hspace{1cm} \Phi \,=\, \Omega^{\Delta_{\Phi}}\hat{\Phi}
$$

$$
\Delta_0 = \mu^{\epsilon} P(\lambda(\mu), \epsilon) \qquad \Omega^{\epsilon} \mu^{\epsilon} P(\lambda(\mu), \epsilon) = \mu^{\epsilon} P(\lambda(\Omega \mu), \epsilon)
$$
\nconpling in dim reg

\n
$$
\mathcal{L}_{int} = \beta_{\lambda} \ln \Omega \frac{\Phi^4}{4!} \left(1 + \frac{(\ln \Omega)}{2} \partial_{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda} + \dots \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{L}_{int} = \mathcal{L}_{int} = \mathcal{L}_{int} = \mathcal{L}_{int} \left(1 + \frac{(\ln \Omega)}{2} \partial_{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda} + \dots \right)
$$
\n
$$
\Delta W^{leading} = \bigotimes \left(0 |T \Phi^4(x) \Phi^4(y)|0 \right)
$$
\n
$$
c_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2^{12}(4!)^2 \pi^6} \qquad \Delta W^{leading} = + c_{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda}^2 \ln \mu^2 \int d^4 x (\partial \ln \Omega)^4.
$$
\n
$$
\mu \frac{da}{d\mu} = c_{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda}^2 > 0
$$

Analogous computation for gauge and Yukawa couplings

$$
\mu \frac{da}{d\mu} = \sum_A c_A \beta_A^2 \qquad c_A > 0
$$

$$
a_{UV} - a_{IR} = \int_{t_{IR}}^{t_{UV}} dt \sum_{A} c_A \beta_A^2 \quad (1 + O(\alpha))
$$

\n_{Jack}, Osborn 1984

integral must converge : two cases

- I. theory exits perturbative regime : can't say much in general
- II. throughout RG flow $O(\alpha) \ll 1$:
∷andari dan ka $dt\beta^2 < \infty$

$$
\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \beta_A(t) = 0
$$

a-finiteness weakly coupled asymptotics must be free CFTs $\beta_A = 0$

Corollary: perturbative SFT asymptotics are ruled out

- do not see how to carry out argument to SFTs in $D = 4 \epsilon$
- E4 non vanishing in 4ϵ
- 'quick analysis' shows no contradiction as long as $|\beta_A| \, < \, \sqrt{\epsilon}$

Non perturbative argument contra 4D SFTs

$$
A(s,t) = \sum_{\forall T \in \mathcal{T}(p_1) \cap \mathcal{T}(p_2) \cap \mathcal{T}(p_3) \cap \mathcal{T}(p_4) \rangle + \langle T(p_1 + p_2)T(p_3)T(p_4) \rangle + \text{permutations} + \langle T(p_1 + p_2)T(p_3 + p_4) \rangle + \text{permutations} + \langle T(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)T(p_4) \rangle + \text{permutations}
$$

In SFT one would expect amplitude to be non-local and, in particular, $\mathop{\rm Im}\nolimits A(s,0) \,\neq\, 0$ $T \neq 0$

Im $A(s, 0)$ is constrained by scale invariance and unitarity

$$
\operatorname{Im} A(s,0) = C s^2 \qquad C \ge 0
$$

absence of candidate counterterm

- $C = 0$
- $U U$
Im $A(s, 0) = 0$

$$
\text{optical} \qquad \qquad \text{Im } A(s,0) \ = \ s \ \sigma(\varphi\varphi \to \text{SFT}) \ \times \ f^4
$$

$$
\sum \left| \sum \limits_{\Psi} \right|^{2} = \sum \limits_{\Psi} \left| \langle \Psi | \operatorname{T} \{ T(p_{1}) T(p_{2}) \} + T(p_{1} + p_{2}) | 0 \rangle \right|^{2}
$$

Im
$$
A(s, 0) = 0
$$

unitarity $T\{T(p_1)T(p_2)\} + T(p_1 + p_2) = 0$

T $\left\{\right.$ *T*(*p*1)*T*(*p*2) $\left\{ \right.$ $+ T(p_1 + p_2) = 0$

• Near conformal case (ex. perturbative case)

T $\left\{\right.$ *T*(*p*1)*T*(*p*2) $\} \sim \beta^2 \ll \beta \sim T(p_1 + p_2)$ constraint satisfied only for $T(p_1 + p_2) = 0$ CFT all boils down to $\langle \Psi | T(p_1 + p_2) | 0 \rangle = 0$ like in 2D proof

• p_1 et p_2 are not arbitrary $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = 0$

cannot yet directly infer T $\left\{\right.$ $T(x_1)T(x_2)$ $\int + \delta^4(x_1 - x_2) T(x_1) = 0$

and conclude T is trivial

The importance of Unitarity

- Non-unitary SFT: $T \neq 0$ can be compatible with $\text{Im } A = 0$ thanks to cancellation between positive and negative norm state
- no log divergence in $Q:$ **must** have $\operatorname{Im} A = 0$
- check: massless vector without gauge invariance

$$
S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\left(\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}+\frac{h}{2}(\nabla_\mu A^\mu)^2\right)
$$

Coleman, Jackiw 1971 Riva, Cardy 2005

SFT with virial current $V^{\mu} = h A_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$

 $\neq 0$ for exclusive final states

 $= 0$ inclusive

Summary

predict FGS 4D examples will turn out to be CFTs and not SFTs

✦ Same conclusion for *small* deformations of strongly coupled CFTs

same conclusion, different method, in supersymmetry Antoniadis, Buican '11

Non-perturbative constraint on SFTs

$$
\langle \Psi | T\{T(p_1)T(p_2)\} + T(p_1 + p_2) |0\rangle = 0 \qquad \forall \Psi
$$

very close to implying $T \equiv T^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0$ but not there yet

 \blacklozenge Non-unitary example (theory of elasticity in $\n 4D$ classical stat mech) ϵ

$$
\langle \Psi | T\{T(p_1)T(p_2)\} + T(p_1 + p_2) |0\rangle \neq 0
$$

while still
$$
\mu \frac{da}{d\mu} = 0
$$
 ...as it must !